Case 2:15-cv-05145-DDP-E Document 16 Filed 09/21/15 Page 1 of 4 Page ID #:51

## FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

2.

28

I am licensed to practice law in the State of California. I am also licensed to

practice law in the Northern District of California, the Southern District of California, the Eastern District of California, and the Central District of California. I am also admitted to practice law before the Ninth-Circuit Court of Appeals.

- 3. My firm, Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C., are the attorneys of record for Plaintiff Edward Makaron in this matter.
- 4. On or about August 21, 2015, Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss this matter, under F.R.C.P. 12(b)(6) for failure to state a claim.
- 5. During the time which Defendant filed its Motion, my office was undergoing changes to its file and email server, stemming from a structural change with the firm's business partnerships. Unfortunately, during the time these changes took place, our firm experienced a sudden and unanticipated blackout of our email system, such that no member of our firm was able to receive emails from outside of our server, including notifications sent through the ECF notification system.
- 6. Additionally, after discussing with my colleagues, counsel for Defendant never met and conferred with either myself, the managing partner Todd M. Friedman, or my colleague Suren N. Weerasuriya, prior to filing a Motion to Dismiss, as required under Local Rule 7-3 for the filing of any Motion in the Central District of California.
- 7. As such, our firm was neither on notice that Defendant intended to file a Motion to Dismiss, nor did we receive a notification of its electronic filing, and thus, were neither served, nor constructively served with a copy of the Motion.
- 8. My office became aware of the instant Motion only much later, when our head paralegal, Erika Campany, undertook efforts to review each and every docket for a pending case being handled by our firm, in order to ensure that no deadlines had been missed, and that all motions and hearings were properly calendared.
- 9. The parties thereafter stipulated to continue the hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (Dkt No. 14) in part on the basis that Plaintiff's counsel had experienced an email blackout and never received notice of, nor was served with Defendant's Motion.
- 10. F.R.C.P. 15(a)(1)(B) states, in pertinent part, as follows: "if the pleading is one to which a responsive pleading is required, 21 days after service of a responsive pleading or 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f), whichever is earlier."
  - 11. As Plaintiff was never served with a copy of Defendant's Motion, I would submit

that Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint is not untimely, per the permissible requirements under F.R.C.P. 15(a)(1)(B). Alternatively, I humbly request that the Honorable Court permit Plaintiff to file the contemporaneously filed First Amended Complaint, as counsel's untimeliness resulted from our experience of a server blackout whereby we never received notice of the filing of Defendant's Motion, and is thus in good faith and excusable neglect.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 21, 2015, in Costa Mesa, California, pursuant to the laws of California.

LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C.

By: /s/ Adrian R. Bacon
Todd M. Friedman
Adrian R. Bacon
Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman
Attorney for Plaintiff

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** Filed electronically on this 21st day of September, 2015, with: United States District Court CM/ECF system Notification sent electronically on this 21st day of September, 2015, to: Honorable Judge Dean D. Pregerson United States District Court Central District of California SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP FRED R. PUGLISI JAY T. RAMSEY GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL **DWIGHT M. FRANCIS** Attorneys for Defendant ENAGIC USA, INC. s/Adrian R. Bacon Adrian R. Bacon